

White paper drafted under the European Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 for FFG 9R78FGDX0



# **Preamble**

# **00. Table of Contents**

01. Date of notification11
02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/111411
03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114
04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU)
05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/111411
06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU)
Summary12
07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU)
08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset12
09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or services to which the utility tokens give access and restrictions on the transferability13
10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to trading13
Part A – Information about the offeror or the person seeking admission to trading13
A.1 Name
A.2 Legal form14
A.3 Registered address14
A.4 Head office14
A.5 Registration date14

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

2



	A.6 Legal entity identifier	.14
	A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	.14
	A.8 Contact telephone number	.14
	A.9 E-mail address	.14
	A.10 Response time (Days)	.14
	A.11 Parent company	.14
	A.12 Members of the management body	.14
	A.13 Business activity	.15
	A.14 Parent company business activity	.15
	A.15 Newly established	.15
	A.16 Financial condition for the past three years	.15
	A.17 Financial condition since registration	.16
)	art B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror or person seek	king
) (	dmission to trading	.16
	B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading	.16
	B.2 Name	.16
	B.3 Legal form	.16
	B.4. Registered address	.16
	B.5 Head office	.16
	B.6 Registration date	.17
	B.7 Legal entity identifier	.17
	B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law	.17
	B.9 Parent company	.17
	B.10 Members of the management body	.17
	B.11 Business activity	.17



B.12 Parent company b	usiness activity	17
	ut the operator of the trading plat te paper and information about	
	pursuant to Article 6(1), second	
	pursuant to mittele o(1), second	
C.1 Name		17
C.2 Legal form		17
C.3 Registered address		18
C.4 Head office		18
C.5 Registration date		18
C.6 Legal entity identifie	er	18
C.7 Another identifier re	equired pursuant to applicable na	tional law18
C.8 Parent company		18
C.9 Reason for crypto-A	Asset white paper Preparation	18
C.10 Members of the M	lanagement body	18
C.11 Operator business	s activity	18
C.12 Parent company b	usiness activity	18
C.13 Other persons dra	awing up the crypto-asset white pa	aper according to Article 6(1),
second subparagraph,	of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114	18
	g the white paper by persons refe	
subparagraph, of Regul	ation (EU) 2023/1114	19
Part D – Information abou	ut the crypto-asset project	19
D.1 Crypto-asset projec	t name	19
D.2 Crypto-assets name	2	19
D 3 Abbreviation		10



D.4	1 Crypto-asset project description	19
D.5	Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the cry	oto-
ass	set project	19
D.6	5 Utility Token Classification	20
D.7	7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects	20
D.8	3 Plans for the token	20
D.9	Resource allocation	21
D.1	0 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets	21
Part I	E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their admission	n to
tradir	ng	22
E.1	Public offering or admission to trading	22
E.2	Reasons for public offer or admission to trading	22
E.3	Fundraising target	22
E.4	Minimum subscription goals	22
E.5	Maximum subscription goals	22
E.6	Oversubscription acceptance	23
E.7	Oversubscription allocation	23
E.8	Issue price	23
E.9	Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price	23
E.1	0 Subscription fee	23
E.1	1 Offer price determination method	23
E.1	2 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets	23
E.1	3 Targeted holders	24
E.1	4 Holder restrictions	24
E.1	5 Reimbursement notice	24



E.16 Refund mechanism	24
E.17 Refund timeline	24
E.18 Offer phases	24
E.19 Early purchase discount	25
E.20 Time-limited offer	25
E.21 Subscription period beginning	25
E.22 Subscription period end	25
E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets	25
E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase	25
E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement	25
E.26 Right of withdrawal	25
E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets	26
E.28 Transfer time schedule	26
E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements	26
E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name	26
E.31 CASP identifier	26
E.32 Placement form	26
E.33 Trading platforms name	26
E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)	26
E.35 Trading platforms access	26
E.36 Involved costs	27
E.37 Offer expenses	27
E.38 Conflicts of interest	27
F 39 Applicable law	27



E.40 Competent court	27
Part F – Information about the crypto-assets	27
F.1 Crypto-asset type	27
F.2 Crypto-asset functionality	28
F.3 Planned application of functionalities	28
A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the dat for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred	,
109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as specified in accordance with paragra	
Article	28
F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper	28
F.5 The type of submission	29
F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics	29
F.7 Commercial name or trading name	29
F.8 Website of the issuer	29
F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading	29
F.10 Publication date	29
F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer	29
F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper	29
F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available	
F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available	30
F.15 Voluntary data flag	
F.16 Personal data flag	
F.17 LEI eligibility	
F.18 Home Member State	



	F.19 Host Member States	30
)	art G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets	30
	G.1 Purchaser rights and obligations	30
	G.2 Exercise of rights and obligations	30
	G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations	30
	G.4 Future public offers	31
	G.5 Issuer retained crypto-assets	31
	G.6 Utility token classification	32
	G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens	32
	G.8 Utility tokens redemption	32
	G.9 Non-trading request	32
	G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities	32
	G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions	32
	G.12 Supply adjustment protocols	32
	G.13 Supply adjustment mechanisms	33
	G.14 Token value protection schemes	33
	G.15 Token value protection schemes description	33
	G.16 Compensation schemes	33
	G.17 Compensation schemes description	33
	G.18 Applicable law	33
	G.19 Competent court	33
)	art H – information on the underlying technology	34
	H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)	34
	H 2 Protocols and technical standards	34



	H.3 Technology used	36
	H.4 Consensus mechanism	38
	H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees	42
	H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology	45
	H.7 DLT functionality description	46
	H.8 Audit	46
	H.9 Audit outcome	46
Ρ	art I – Information on risks	46
	I.1 Offer-related risks	46
	I.2 Issuer-related risks	48
	I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks	49
	I.4 Project implementation-related risks	54
	I.5 Technology-related risks	54
	I.6 Mitigation measures	55
Ρ	art J – Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to adverse impact on	the
cl	imate and other environment-related adverse impacts	55
	J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts	55
	S.1 Name	55
	S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier	55
	S.3 Name of the cryptoasset	55
	S.4 Consensus Mechanism	56
	S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees	60
	S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates	63
	S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates	63
	S.8 Energy consumption	63



S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies	63
S.10 Renewable energy consumption	64
S.11 Energy intensity	64
S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions – Controlled	64
S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased	64
S.14 GHG intensity	64
S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies	64
S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies	65



#### 01. Date of notification

2025-08-25

# 02. Statement in accordance with Article 6(3) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper has not been approved by any competent authority in any Member State of the European Union. The person seeking admission to trading of the crypto-asset is solely responsible for the content of this crypto-asset white paper.

# 03. Compliance statement in accordance with Article 6(6) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

This crypto-asset white paper complies with Title II of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council and, to the best of the knowledge of the management body, the information presented in the crypto-asset white paper is fair, clear and not misleading and the crypto-asset white paper makes no omission likely to affect its import.

# 04. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (a), (b), (c), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this crypto-asset white paper may lose its value in part or in full, may not always be transferable and may not be liquid.

# 05. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), point (d), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Since the token has multiple functions (hybrid token), these are already conceptually not utility tokens within the meaning of the MiCAR within the definition of Article 3, 1. (9), due to the necessity "exclusively" being intended to provide access to a good or a service supplied by its issuer only.



# 06. Statement in accordance with Article 6(5), points (e) and (f), of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

The crypto-asset referred to in this white paper is not covered by the investor compensation schemes under Directive 97/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council or the deposit guarantee schemes under Directive 2014/49/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

# **Summary**

# 07. Warning in accordance with Article 6(7), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Warning: This summary should be read as an introduction to the crypto-asset white paper. The prospective holder should base any decision to purchase this crypto-asset on the content of the crypto-asset white paper as a whole and not on the summary alone. The offer to the public of this crypto-asset does not constitute an offer or solicitation to purchase financial instruments and any such offer or solicitation can be made only by means of a prospectus or other offer documents pursuant to the applicable national law. This crypto-asset white paper does not constitute a prospectus as referred to in Regulation (EU) 2017/1129 of the European Parliament and of the Council or any other offer document pursuant to union or national law.

# 08. Characteristics of the crypto-asset

The HOME tokens referred to in this white paper are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, and are issued on the Base, BNB Smart Chain and the Solana network (2025-08-06 and according to DTI FFG shown in F.14).

The first activity on Base took place on 2025-05-30 04:05:15 PM +UTC (see https://basescan.org/tx/0xfb44a8fceb0c1be5495d32e27c688e24ff2e65e11a8812ed5c1 a76d51e06e498, accessed 2025-08-06).

The first activity on BNB Smart Chain took place on 2025-05-30 05:04:28 PM UTC (see

https://bscscan.com/tx/0xe62f2dd012265a09d737de42dbac4c1e3c857dc689355c2a20

cf2288fd61c55c, accessed 2025-08-06).

The first activity on Solana took place on 2025-05-30 17:03:45 +UTC (see

https://solscan.io/tx/35mgbHtXf4GoExWJqRASNA66sbyHoYzp373F8GXmLdprJjj2zU3bQs

cvmy5r8h7pqinUm68WrnRx7JeqALCq2H3B, accessed 2025-08-06).

09. Information about the quality and quantity of goods or

services to which the utility tokens give access and restrictions

on the transferability

Not applicable.

10. Key information about the offer to the public or admission to

trading

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is seeking admission to trading on any Crypto Asset Service

Provider platform in the European Union in accordance to Article 5 of REGULATION (EU)

2023/1114 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on

markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No

1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937. In accordance to Article

5(4), this crypto-asset white paper may be used by entities admitting the token to

trading after Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person responsible for drawing up such

white paper has given its consent to its use in writing to the repective Crypto Asset

Service Provider. If a CASP wishes to use this white paper, inquiries can be made under

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com.

Part A - Information about the offeror or the person seeking

admission to trading

A.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH



#### A.2 Legal form

2HBR

### A.3 Registered address

DE, Lange Reihe 73, 20099 Hamburg, Germany

#### A.4 Head office

Not applicable.

#### A.5 Registration date

2018-12-03

# A.6 Legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE242

### A.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is registered with the commercial register in the the city of Hamburg, Germany, under number HRB 154488.

#### A.8 Contact telephone number

+4915144974120

### A.9 E-mail address

info@crypto-risk-metrics.com

### A.10 Response time (Days)

030

#### A.11 Parent company

Not applicable.

#### A.12 Members of the management body

Name	Position	Address



Tim Zölitz	Chairman	Lange Reihe 73, 20099
		Hamburg, Germany

#### A.13 Business activity

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH is a technical service provider, who supports regulated entities in the fulfillment of their regulatory requirements. In this regard, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH acts as a data-provider for ESG-data according to article 66 (5). Due to the regulations laid out in article 5 (4) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 2023 on markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims at providing central services for crypto-asset white papers in order to minimize market confusion due to conflicting white papers for the same asset.

#### A.14 Parent company business activity

Not applicable.

#### A.15 Newly established

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH has been etablished since 2018 and is therefore not newly established (i. e. older than three years).

### A.16 Financial condition for the past three years

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH's profit after tax for the last three financial years are as follows:

2024 (unaudited): negative 50.891,81 EUR

2023 (unaudited): negative 27.665,32 EUR

2022: 104.283,00 EUR.

As 2023 and 2024 were the years building Software for the MiCAR-Regulation which was not yet in place, revenue streams from these investments are expeted to be generated in 2025.

A.17 Financial condition since registration

This point would only be applicable if the company were newly established and the

financial conditions for the past three years had not been provided in the bulletpoint

before.

Part B – Information about the issuer, if different from the offeror

or person seeking admission to trading

B.1 Issuer different from offeror or person seeking admission to trading

Yes

**B.2 Name** 

In publicly available sources, no unequivocal legal entity can be identified as the issuer

of the crypto-asset.

References indicate a possible connection to Dark Mater Digital Limited, which appears

in the footer of the official website (https://defi.app/, acessed on 2025-08-09) as the

copyright holder, although its exact legal or operational role in relation to the protocol

or token issuance is not clarified. The Terms of Service (https://docs.defi.app/knowledge-

base/legal/terms-of-service, acessed on 2025-08-09) name Big Bang Studio as the

provider of the application interface that facilitates access to the DeFi App protocol,

while explicitly stating that the company does not own or control the protocol itself.

Public statements and media coverage identify Dan Greer as the founder and CEO of

DeFi App, responsible for strategic and operational leadership of the project.

**B.3 Legal form** 

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

**B.4. Registered address** 

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

**B.5** Head office

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).



# **B.6 Registration date**

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

#### **B.7 Legal entity identifier**

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

### B.8 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

#### **B.9 Parent company**

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

### **B.10** Members of the management body

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

#### **B.11** Business activity

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

### **B.12 Parent company business activity**

Could not be found while drafting this white paper (2025-08-09).

Part C – Information about the operator of the trading platform in cases where it draws up the crypto-asset white paper and information about other persons drawing the crypto-asset white paper pursuant to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

#### C.1 Name

Not applicable.

#### C.2 Legal form

Not applicable.



# **C.3 Registered address** Not applicable. C.4 Head office Not applicable. **C.5** Registration date Not applicable. C.6 Legal entity identifier Not applicable. C.7 Another identifier required pursuant to applicable national law Not applicable. **C.8 Parent company** Not applicable. C.9 Reason for crypto-Asset white paper Preparation Not applicable. C.10 Members of the Management body Not applicable. **C.11 Operator business activity** Not applicable. C.12 Parent company business activity Not applicable. C.13 Other persons drawing up the crypto-asset white paper according to Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 Not applicable.



# C.14 Reason for drawing the white paper by persons referred to in Article 6(1), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114

Not applicable.

# Part D - Information about the crypto-asset project

#### D.1 Crypto-asset project name

Long Name: Home, Short Name: HOME, according to the Digital Token Identifier Foundation (www.dtif.org, DTI see F.13, FFG DTI see F.14 as of 2025-08-06).

#### **D.2 Crypto-assets name**

See F.13.

#### **D.3 Abbreviation**

See F.13.

# D.4 Crypto-asset project description

The DeFi App project positions itself as a decentralised "SuperApp" for digital assets, aiming to simplify access to decentralised finance (DeFi) through a single interface, according to the official documentation (https://docs.defi.app/knowledge-base, acessed on 2025-08-06).

# D.5 Details of all natural or legal persons involved in the implementation of the cryptoasset project

Name	Function	Business address
Dan Greer	CEO	Not available.
Team members	There are different team members who are associated with the project, which exact role can't be defined.	Not available.



	(https://www.linkedin.com/company/defidotapp/people/)	
Investors	Public disclosures indicate that the project has received	Not
	funding from external investors, including venture	available.
	capital firms such as Mechanism Capital and private	
	investment groups like Cobie's Echo. These investors	
	participated in early-stage funding rounds, but their	
	specific governance rights or influence over the protocol	
	have not been publicly detailed.	

#### **D.6 Utility Token Classification**

The token does not classify as a utility token.

#### D.7 Key Features of Goods/Services for Utility Token Projects

Not applicable.

#### D.8 Plans for the token

DeFi App's roadmap outlines a community-driven utility expansion strategy for HOME, combining deeper financial functionality, mobile access, and formalized governance infrastructure. These initiatives remain subject to further legal, technical, and governance review.

Publicly available information indicates that governance decisions for the DeFi App protocol are intended to be made through a decentralised autonomous organisation (DAO) model, where HOME token holders can submit and vote on proposals regarding platform development, feature integration, and ecosystem incentives.

Note that these plans are subject to change at any time and can negatively affect the investor. Decisions by a DAO can also negatively effect the investor and pose an additional risk due to the novelty of DAO.

**D.9 Resource allocation** 

The allocation is divided into several categories: 47 % for community and ecosystem

initiatives, 20 % for core contributors, 10 % for early backers, 10 % for the foundation, 8

% for protocol development, and 5 % for liquidity and launch. Vesting schedules vary by

category (according to the official documentation: https://docs.defi.app/knowledge-

base/home-token/tokenomics, acsessed on 2025-08-09).

Note that this information can not be independently verified and is subject to change.

Change can negatively impact the investor at any time. The temporary token distribution

can be traced on-chain:

Base:

https://basescan.org/token/0x4bfaa776991e85e5f8b1255461cbbd216cfc714f#balances

BNB Smart Chain:

https://bscscan.com/token/0x4bfaa776991e85e5f8b1255461cbbd216cfc714f#balances

Solana:

https://solscan.io/token/J3umBWqhSid13sag1E1aUojViWvPYA5dFNyqpKuX3WXj#holders

The investor must be aware that a public address cannot necessarily be assigned to a

single person or entity, which limits the ability to determine exact economic influence or

future actions. Token distribution changes can negatively impact the investor.

The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors. This asset

bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism may not

function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack.

D.10 Planned use of Collected funds or crypto-Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper was drawn up for the admission to trading and not

for collecting funds for the crypto-asset-project.

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

21



# Part E – Information about the offer to the public of crypto-assets or their admission to trading

#### E.1 Public offering or admission to trading

The white paper concerns the admission to trading (i. e. ATTR) on any Crypto Asset Service Providers platform that has obtained the written consent of Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH as the person drafting this white paper.

### E.2 Reasons for public offer or admission to trading

As already stated in A.13, Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH aims to provide central services to draw up crypto-asset white papers in accordance to COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2024/2984. These services are offered in order to minimize market confusion due to conflicting white papers for the same asset drawn up from different Crypto Asset Service Providers. As of now, such a scenario seems highly likely as a Crypto Asset Service Provider who drew up a crypto-asset white paper and admitted the respective token in the Union has no incentive to give his written consent to another Crypto Asset Service Provider according to Article 5 (4 b) of the REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114 to use the white paper for his regulatory obligations, as this would 1. strenghthen the market-positioning of the other Crypto Asset Service Provider (who is most likely a competitor) and 2. also entail liability risks.

#### **E.3 Fundraising target**

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### **E.4 Minimum subscription goals**

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### **E.5 Maximum subscription goals**

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

**E.6 Oversubscription acceptance** 

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

**E.7 Oversubscription allocation** 

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.8 Issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.9 Official currency or any other crypto-assets determining the issue price

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.10 Subscription fee

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.11 Offer price determination method

Once the token is admitted to trading its price will be determined by demand (buyers)

and supply (sellers).

E.12 Total number of offered/traded crypto-assets

A total amount of 10,000,000,000 tokens has been initially minted on Base (see

https://basescan.org/tx/0xfb44a8fceb0c1be5495d32e27c688e24ff2e65e11a8812ed5c1

a76d51e06e498, accessed 2025-08-09).

It has to be noted that HOME is not only on the Base mainnet but also on BNB Smart

Chain:

https://bscscan.com/token/0x4bfaa776991e85e5f8b1255461cbbd216cfc714f

and Solana:

https://solscan.io/token/J3umBWqhSjd13sag1E1aUojViWvPYA5dFNyqpKuX3WXj.

The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors. This asset

bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism may not

function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack.

The ownership or mint authority for the token can not independently be verified and it

is possible that the supply is still subject to arbitrary change which can negatively impact

the investors at any time.

**E.13 Targeted holders** 

ALL

**E.14 Holder restrictions** 

The Holder restrictions are subject to the rules applicable to the Crypto Asset Service

Provider as well as additional restrictions the Crypto Asset Service Providers might set in

force.

**E.15** Reimbursement notice

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.16 Refund mechanism

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.17 Refund timeline

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

E.18 Offer phases

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for

the initial offer to the public.

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

24



#### **E.19** Early purchase discount

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.20 Time-limited offer

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### **E.21 Subscription period beginning**

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.22 Subscription period end

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

# E.23 Safeguarding arrangements for offered funds/crypto- Assets

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.24 Payment methods for crypto-asset purchase

The payment methods are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

#### E.25 Value transfer methods for reimbursement

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.26 Right of withdrawal

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.



# **E.27 Transfer of purchased crypto-assets**

The transfer of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

#### **E.28 Transfer time schedule**

Not applicable, as this white paper is written to support admission to trading and not for the initial offer to the public.

#### E.29 Purchaser's technical requirements

The technical requirements that the purchaser is required to fulfil to hold the crypto-assets of purchased crypto-assets are subject to the respective capabilities of the Crypto Asset Service Provider listing the crypto-asset.

#### E.30 Crypto-asset service provider (CASP) name

Not applicable.

#### E.31 CASP identifier

Not applicable.

#### **E.32 Placement form**

Not applicable.

#### E.33 Trading platforms name

The trading on all MiCAR-compliant trading platforms is sought.

# E.34 Trading platforms Market identifier code (MIC)

Not applicable.

#### **E.35 Trading platforms access**

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset.

**E.36 Involved costs** 

This depends on the trading platform listing the asset. Furthermore, costs may occur for

making transfers out of the platform (i. e. "gas costs" for blockchain network use that

may exceed the value of the crypto-asset itself).

E.37 Offer expenses

Not applicable, as this crypto-asset white paper concerns the admission to trading and

not the offer of the token to the public.

E.38 Conflicts of interest

MiCAR-compliant Crypto Asset Service Providers shall have strong measurements in

place in order to manage conflicts of interests. Due to the broad audience this white-

paper is adressing, potential investors should always check the conflicts of Interest

policy of their respective counterparty.

E.39 Applicable law

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the

admission to trading is sought.

**E.40 Competent court** 

Not applicable, as it is referred to on "offer to the public" and in this white-paper, the

admission to trading is sought.

Part F – Information about the crypto-assets

F.1 Crypto-asset type

The crypto-asset described in the white paper is classified as a crypto-asset under the

Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCAR) but does not qualify as an electronic

money token (EMT) or an asset-referenced token (ART). It is a digital representation of

value that can be stored and transferred using distributed ledger technology (DLT) or

similar technology, without embodying or conferring any rights to its holder.

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

27



The asset does not aim to maintain a stable value by referencing an official currency, a basket of assets, or any other underlying rights. Instead, its valuation is entirely market-driven, based on supply and demand dynamics, and not supported by a stabilization mechanism. It is neither pegged to any fiat currency nor backed by any external assets, distinguishing it clearly from EMTs and ARTs.

Furthermore, the crypto-asset is not categorized as a financial instrument, deposit, insurance product, pension product, or any other regulated financial product under EU law. It does not grant financial rights, voting rights, or any contractual claims to its holders, ensuring that it remains outside the scope of regulatory frameworks applicable to traditional financial instruments.

# F.2 Crypto-asset functionality

The HOME token is designed to provide several intended functions within the DeFi App ecosystem. It is foreseen to be used for governance participation, enabling holders to submit and vote on proposals concerning protocol parameters, feature integrations, and incentive programmes; however, the extent to which these governance mechanisms will be implemented or effective cannot be independently verified. A buyback and burn mechanism is described in project materials as a means to reduce supply over time, but there is no guarantee that such measures will be implemented as planned or will have the anticipated economic effect.

### F.3 Planned application of functionalities

The potential planned applications and changes to the crypto-asset have been layed out in D.8.

A description of the characteristics of the crypto asset, including the data necessary for classification of the crypto-asset white paper in the register referred to in Article 109 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114, as specified in accordance with paragraph 8 of that Article

#### F.4 Type of crypto-asset white paper

The white paper type is "other crypto-assets" (i. e. "OTHR").



# F.5 The type of submission

The white paper submission type is "CORR", which stands for "Correction".

#### F.6 Crypto-asset characteristics

The tokens are crypto-assets other than EMTs and ARTs, which are available on the Base, BNB Smart Chain and Solana network.

A total of 100,000,000 have already been minted. The tokens are fungible (up to 18 digits after the decimal point for Base and BNB Smart Chain and 9 on Solana).

#### F.7 Commercial name or trading name

See F.13.

#### F.8 Website of the issuer

https://defi.app/

#### F.9 Starting date of offer to the public or admission to trading

2025-09-08

#### F.10 Publication date

2025-09-08

#### F.11 Any other services provided by the issuer

It is not possible to exclude a possibility that the issuer of the token provides or will provide other services not covered by Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 (i.e. MiCAR).

#### F.12 Language or languages of the crypto-asset white paper

ΕN

# F.13 Digital token identifier code used to uniquely identify the crypto-asset or each of the several crypto assets to which the white paper relates, where available

JTZ38TBDB; 9XMH7TN3Z; 9SNXQ889C



#### F.14 Functionally fungible group digital token identifier, where available

9R78FGDX0

#### F.15 Voluntary data flag

Mandatory.

### F.16 Personal data flag

The white paper does contain personal data.

#### F.17 LEI eligibility

The issuer should be eligible for a Legal Entity Identifier.

#### F.18 Home Member State

Germany

#### **F.19 Host Member States**

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden

# Part G – Information on the rights and obligations attached to the crypto-assets

#### **G.1** Purchaser rights and obligations

There are no rights or obligations attached for/of the purchaser.

#### **G.2** Exercise of rights and obligations

As the token grants neither rights nor obligations, there are no procedures and conditions for the exercise of these rights applicable.

#### G.3 Conditions for modifications of rights and obligations

As the token grants neither rights nor obligations, there are no conditions under which the rights and obligations may be modified applicable. An adjustment of the technical

infrastructure necessary to exercise the promised governance rights, declining

functionality due to dilution, changing rights within the voting platforms, and all other

adverse effects for investors may occur at any time.

**G.4 Future public offers** 

Information on the future offers to the public of crypto-assets were not available at the

time of writing this white paper (2025-08-06).

**G.5** Issuer retained crypto-assets

The allocation is divided into several categories: 47 % for community and ecosystem

initiatives, 20 % for core contributors, 10 % for early backers, 10 % for the foundation, 8

% for protocol development, and 5 % for liquidity and launch. Vesting schedules vary by

category (according to the official documentation: https://docs.defi.app/knowledge-

base/home-token/tokenomics, accessed on 2025-08-09).

At least the token categories: Core contributors, Foundation and Protocol Development

should be considered as issuer-retained.

Note that this information can not be independently verified and is subject to change.

Change can negatively impact the investor at any time. The temporary token distribution

can be traced on-chain:

Base:

https://basescan.org/token/0x4bfaa776991e85e5f8b1255461cbbd216cfc714f#balances

BNB Smart Chain:

https://bscscan.com/token/0x4bfaa776991e85e5f8b1255461cbbd216cfc714f#balances

Solana:

https://solscan.io/token/J3umBWqhSjd13sag1E1aUojViWvPYA5dFNyqpKuX3WXj#holders

The investor must be aware that a public address cannot necessarily be assigned to a

single person or entity, which limits the ability to determine exact economic influence or

future actions. Token distribution changes can negatively impact the investor.

The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors. This asset

bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism may not

function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack.

G.6 Utility token classification

No

G.7 Key features of goods/services of utility tokens

As the crypto-asset grants no access to neither goods nor services this information is

not applicable.

**G.8 Utility tokens redemption** 

Not applicable.

**G.9 Non-trading request** 

The admission to trading is sought.

G.10 Crypto-assets purchase or sale modalities

Not applicable, as the admission to trading of the tokens is sought.

**G.11 Crypto-assets transfer restrictions** 

The crypto-assets as such do not have any transfer restrictions and are generally freely

transferable. The Crypto Asset Service Providers can impose their own restrictions in

agreements they enter with their clients. The Crypto Asset Service Providers may

impose restrictions to buyers and sellers in accordance with applicable laws and internal

policies and terms.

**G.12 Supply adjustment protocols** 

No, there are no fixed protocols that can increase or decrease the supply implemented

as of 2025-08-07. Nevertheless, it is possible that the owner of the smart-contract has

the ability to increase or decrease the token-supply in response to changes in demand.

Also, it is possible to decrease the circulating supply, by transferring crypto-assets to so

called "burn-adresses", which are adresses that render the crypto-asset "non-

transferable" after sent to those adresses.

**G.13** Supply adjustment mechanisms

The mint authority (the entity who can create new tokens of that crypto-asset), as stated

in the smart contract, has the potential right to change the supply of the crypto-assets.

Investors must therefore be aware that the number of tokens may change at any time

through the use of this function and that this may have a negative impact on the

investor.

The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors. This asset

bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism may not

function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack.

**G.14 Token value protection schemes** 

No, the token does not have value protection schemes.

G.15 Token value protection schemes description

Not applicable.

**G.16 Compensation schemes** 

No, the token does not have compensation schemes.

**G.17 Compensation schemes description** 

Not applicable.

**G.18** Applicable law

Applicable law likely depends on the location of any particular transaction with the

token.

**G.19 Competent court** 

Competent court likely depends on the location of any particular transaction with the

token.

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

33



# Part H - information on the underlying technology

#### H.1 Distributed ledger technology (DTL)

See F.13.

#### H.2 Protocols and technical standards

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain, Solana and Base. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) is a Layer-1 blockchain that utilizes a Proof-of-Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus mechanism. This mechanism combines elements of Proof-of-Authority (PoA) and Proof-of-Stake (PoS) and is intended to secure the network and validate transactions. In PoSA, validators are selected based on their stake and authority, with the goal of providing fast transaction times and low fees while maintaining network security through staking.

The following applies to Solana:

The tokens were created with Solana's Token Program, a smart contract that is part of the Solana Program Library (SPL). Such tokens are commonly referred to as SPL-token. The token itself is not an additional smart contract, but what is called a data account on Solana. As the name suggests data accounts store data on the blockchain. However, unlike smart contracts, they cannot be executed and cannot perform any operations. Since one cannot interact with data accounts directly, any interaction with an SPL-token is done via Solana's Token Program. The source code of this smart contract can be found here https://github.com/solana-program/token.

The Token Program is developed in Rust, a memory-safe, high-performance programming language designed for secure and efficient development. On Solana, Rust is said to be the primary language used for developing on-chain programs (smart



contracts), intended to ensure safety and reliability in decentralized applications (dApps).

Core functions of the Token Program:

initialize\_mint()  $\rightarrow$  Create a new type of token, called a mint

mint\_to() → Mints new tokens of a specific type to a specified account

burn() → Burns tokens from a specified account, reducing total supply

transfer() → Transfers tokens between accounts

approve() → Approves a delegate to spend tokens on behalf of the owner

set\_authority() → Updates authorities (mint, freeze, or transfer authority)

These functions ensure basic operations like transfers, and minting/burning can be performed within the Solana ecosystem.

In addition to the Token Program, another smart contract, the Metaplex Token Metadata Program is commonly used to store name, symbol, and URI information for better ecosystem compatibility. This additional metadata has no effect on the token's functionality.

The following applies to Base:

The crypto-asset operates on the Base network, a Layer-2 blockchain built on the OP Stack and secured by Ethereum. It follows a defined technical framework to ensure functionality, scalability, and Ethereum compatibility. Below are some of the key characteristics:

Base operates as an Optimistic Rollup, inheriting security from Ethereum Layer 1.

\* Transactions are sequenced off-chain by a centralized sequencer (currently operated by Coinbase) and posted to Ethereum for finality.

\* Dispute resolution and fraud-proof mechanisms are implemented via the Optimism fault-proof framework (under active development).

\* Base uses the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) for executing smart contracts,

ensuring compatibility with existing Ethereum tooling.

H.3 Technology used

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain, Solana and Base. In general, when

evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks

must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

1. BSC-Compatible Wallets

Tokens on BSC are supported by wallets compatible with the Ethereum Virtual Machine

(EVM), such as MetaMask. These wallets can be configured to connect to the BSC

network and are designed to interact with BSC using standard Web3 interfaces.

2. Ledger

BSC maintains its own decentralized ledger for recording token transactions. This ledger

is intended to ensure transparency and security, providing a verifiable record of all

activities on the network.

3. BEP-20 Token Standard

BSC supports tokens implemented under the BEP-20 standard, which is tailored for the

BSC ecosystem. This standard is designed to facilitate the creation and management of

tokens on the network.

4. Scalability and Transaction Efficiency

BSC is designed to handle high volumes of transactions with low fees. It leverages its

PoSA consensus mechanism to achieve fast transaction times and efficient network

performance, making it suitable for applications requiring high throughput.

The following applies to Solana:

1. Solana-Compatible Wallets: The tokens are supported by all wallets compatible with

Solana's Token Program

2. Decentralized Ledger: The Solana blockchain acts as a decentralized ledger for all

token transactions, with the intention to preserving an unalterable record of token

transfers and ownership to ensure both transparency and security.

3. SPL Token Program: The SPL (Solana Program Library) Token Program is an inherent

Solana smart contract built to create and manage new types of tokens (so called mints).

This is significantly different from ERC-20 on Ethereum, because a single smart contract

that is part of Solana's core functionality and as such is open source, is responsible for

all the tokens. This ensures a high uniformity across tokens at the cost of flexibility.

4. Blockchain Scalability: With its intended capacity for processing a lot of transactions

per second and in most cases low fees, Solana is intended to enable efficient token

transactions, maintaining high performance even during peak network usage.

Security Protocols for Asset Custody and Transactions:

1. Private Key Management: To safeguard their token holdings, users must securely

store their wallet's private keys and recovery phrases.

2. Cryptographic Integrity: Solana employs elliptic curve cryptography to validate and

execute transactions securely, intended to ensure the integrity of all transfers.

The following applies to Base:

1. Base-Compatible Wallets: x000B\_The tokens are supported by all wallets compatible

with the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM), such as MetaMask, Coinbase Wallet, and Trust

Wallet. These wallets interact with Base in the same way as with other EVM-compatible

chains, using standard Web3 interfaces.

2. Decentralized Ledger:\_x000B\_Base operates as a Layer-2 blockchain on Ethereum

and maintains its own decentralized ledger for recording token transactions. Final

transaction data is periodically posted to Ethereum Layer 1, ensuring long-term

availability and resistance to tampering.

3. ERC-20 Token Standard:\_x000B\_The Base network supports tokens implemented

under the ERC-20 standard, the same as on Ethereum.

4. Scalability and Transaction Efficiency:

As a rollup-based Layer-2, Base is intended to handle high volumes of transactions with

lower fees compared to Ethereum Layer 1. This is enabled by off-chain execution and

on-chain data posting via optimistic rollup architecture

**H.4 Consensus mechanism** 

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT

networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain, Solana and Base. In general, when

evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks

must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof of Staked

Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) and

Proof of Authority (PoA). This method ensures fast block times and low fees while

maintaining a level of decentralization and security. Core Components 1. Validators (so-

called "Cabinet Members"): Validators on BSC are responsible for producing new blocks,

validating transactions, and maintaining the network's security. To become a validator,

an entity must stake a significant amount of BNB (Binance Coin). Validators are selected

through staking and voting by token holders. There are 21 active validators at any given

time, rotating to ensure decentralization and security. 2. Delegators: Token holders who

do not wish to run validator nodes can delegate their BNB tokens to validators. This

delegation helps validators increase their stake and improves their chances of being

selected to produce blocks. Delegators earn a share of the rewards that validators

receive, incentivizing broad participation in network security. 3. Candidates: Candidates



are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are in the pool waiting to become validators. They are essentially potential validators who are not currently active but can be elected to the validator set through community voting. Candidates play a crucial role in ensuring there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, thus maintaining network resilience and decentralization. Consensus Process 4. Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of BNB staked and votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. The selection process involves both the current validators and the pool of candidates, ensuring a dynamic and secure rotation of nodes. 5. Block Production: The selected validators take turns producing blocks in a PoA-like manner, ensuring that blocks are generated quickly and efficiently. Validators validate transactions, add them to new blocks, and broadcast these blocks to the network. 6. Transaction Finality: BSC achieves fast block times of around 3 seconds and quick transaction finality. This is achieved through the efficient PoSA mechanism that allows validators to rapidly reach consensus. Security and Economic Incentives 7. Staking: Validators are required to stake a substantial amount of BNB, which acts as collateral to ensure their honest behavior. This staked amount can be slashed if validators act maliciously. Staking incentivizes validators to act in the network's best interest to avoid losing their staked BNB. 8. Delegation and Rewards: Delegators earn rewards proportional to their stake in validators. This incentivizes them to choose reliable validators and participate in the network's security. Validators and delegators share transaction fees as rewards, which provides continuous economic incentives to maintain network security and performance. 9. Transaction Fees: BSC employs low transaction fees, paid in BNB, making it cost-effective for users. These fees are collected by validators as part of their rewards, further incentivizing them to validate transactions accurately and efficiently.

The following applies to Solana:

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS). The core concepts of the mechanism are intended to work as follows:

Core Concepts

1. Proof of History (PoH):

Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps

transactions, intended to creating a historical record that proves that an event has

occurred at a specific moment in time.

Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a

unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence

of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, intended to enabling the network to

efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):

Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number

of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being

selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards

proportional to their stake while intended to enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process

1. Transaction Validation:

Transactions are broadcasted to the network and collected by validators. Each

transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct

signatures and sufficient funds.

2. PoH Sequence Generation:

A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp

and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions,

establishing a

cryptographic clock for the network.

3. Block Production:

The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is

responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator

uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all

transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:

Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the

correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once

the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it

is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives

1. Incentives for Validators:

Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These

rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and

performance.

Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in

the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to

process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:

Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This

staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves

maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.

Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, intended

to enhance network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and

41

are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:



Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

The following applies to the Base network:

Base is a Layer-2 (L2) solution on Ethereum that was introduced by Coinbase and developed using Optimism's OP Stack. L2 transactions do not have their own consensus mechanism and are only validated by the execution clients. The so-called sequencer regularly bundles stacks of L2 transactions and publishes them on the L1 network, i.e. Ethereum. Ethereum's consensus mechanism (Proof-of-stake) thus indirectly secures all L2 transactions as soon as they are written to L1.

## H.5 Incentive mechanisms and applicable fees

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain, Solana and Base. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses the Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus mechanism to ensure network security and incentivize participation from validators and delegators. Incentive Mechanisms 1. Validators: Staking Rewards: Validators must stake a significant amount of BNB to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards in the form of transaction fees and block rewards. Selection Process: Validators are selected based on the amount of BNB staked and the votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. 2. Delegators: Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their BNB to validators. This delegation increases the validator's total stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Shared Rewards: Delegators earn a portion of the rewards that validators receive. This



incentivizes token holders to participate in the network's security and decentralization by choosing reliable validators. 3. Candidates: Pool of Potential Validators: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are waiting to become active validators. They ensure that there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, maintaining network resilience. 4. Economic Security: Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior or failure to perform their duties. Penalties include slashing a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring that validators act in the best interest of the network. Opportunity Cost: Staking requires validators and delegators to lock up their BNB tokens, providing an economic incentive to act honestly to avoid losing their staked assets. Fees on the Binance Smart Chain 5. Transaction Fees: Low Fees: BSC is known for its low transaction fees compared to other blockchain networks. These fees are paid in BNB and are essential for maintaining network operations and compensating validators. Dynamic Fee Structure: Transaction fees can vary based on network congestion and the complexity of the transactions. However, BSC ensures that fees remain significantly lower than those on the Ethereum mainnet. 6. Block Rewards: Incentivizing Validators: Validators earn block rewards in addition to transaction fees. These rewards are distributed to validators for their role in maintaining the network and processing transactions. 7. Cross-Chain Fees: Interoperability Costs: BSC supports cross-chain compatibility, allowing assets to be transferred between Binance Chain and Binance Smart Chain. These cross-chain operations incur minimal fees, facilitating seamless asset transfers and improving user experience. 8. Smart Contract Fees: Deployment and Execution Costs: Deploying and interacting with smart contracts on BSC involves paying fees based on the computational resources required. These fees are also paid in BNB and are designed to be cost-effective, encouraging developers to build on the BSC platform.

The following applies to Solana:

#### 1. Validators:

Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in

SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate

transactions and produce new blocks.

Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the

transactions they include in the blocks. This is intended to provide an additional financial

incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's

integrity.

2. Delegators:

Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate

their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share the rewards earned by the

validators. This is intended to encourage widespread participation in securing the

network and ensures decentralization.

3. Economic Security:

Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid

blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a

portion of their staked tokens. Slashing is intended to deter dishonest actions and

ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.

Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens,

which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost is intended to incentivize

participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties.

Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

1. Transaction Fees:

Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which is intended to

keep the fees low and predictable.

Fee Structure: Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the

resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and

44

network bandwidth.

2. Rent Fees:



State Storage: Solana charges so called ""rent fees"" for storing data on the blockchain. These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees are intended to help maintain the efficiency and performance of the network.

#### 3. Smart Contract Fees:

Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This is intended to ensure that users are charged proportionally for the resources they consume.

The following applies to the Base network:

Base is a Layer-2 (L2) solution on Ethereum that uses optimistic rollups provided by the OP Stack on which it was developed. Transaction on base are bundled by a, so called, sequencer and the result is regularly submitted as an Layer-1 (L1) transactions. This way many L2 transactions get combined into a single L1 transaction. This lowers the average transaction cost per transaction, because many L2 transactions together fund the transaction cost for the single L1 transaction. This creates incentives to use base rather than the L1, i.e. Ethereum, itself. To get crypto-assets in and out of base, a special smart contract on Ethereum is used. Since there is no consensus mechanism on L2 an additional mechanism ensures that only existing funds can be withdrawn from L2. When a user wants to withdraw funds, that user needs to submit a withdrawal request on L1. If this request remains unchallenged for a period of time the funds can be withdrawn. During this time period any other user can submit a fault proof, which will start a dispute resolution process. This process is designed with economic incentives for correct behaviour.

#### H.6 Use of distributed ledger technology

No, DLT not operated by the issuer, offeror, a person seeking admission to trading or a third-party acting on the issuer's their behalf.

H.7 DLT functionality description

Not applicable.

H.8 Audit

As we are understanding the question relating to "technology" to be interpreted in a

broad sense, the answer answer to whether an audit of "the technology used" was

conducted is "no, we can not guarantee, that all parts of the technology used have been

audited". This is due to the fact this report focusses on risk, and we can not guarantee

that each part of the technology used was audited.

**H.9 Audit outcome** 

Not applicable.

Part I - Information on risks

I.1 Offer-related risks

1. Regulatory and Compliance

This white paper (drawn up from 2025-07-30) has been prepared with utmost caution;

however, uncertainties in the regulatory requirements and future changes in regulatory

frameworks could potentially impact the token's legal status and its tradability. There is

also a high probability that other laws will come into force, changing the rules for the

trading of the token. Therefore, such developments shall be monitored and acted upon

accordingly.

2. Operational and Technical

Blockchain Dependency: The token is entirely dependent on the blockchain the crypto-

asset is issued upon (as of 2025-07-30). Any issues, such as downtime, congestion, or

security vulnerabilities within the blockchain, could adversely affect the token's

functionality.

Smart Contract Risks: Smart contracts governing the token may contain hidden

vulnerabilities or bugs that could disrupt the token offering or distribution processes.

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

46

Connection Dependency: As the trading of the token also involves other trading venues,

technical risks such as downtime of the connection or faulty code are also possible.

Human errors: Due to the irrevocability of blockchain-transactions, approving wrong

transactions or using incorrect networks/addresses will most likely result in funds not

being accessibly anymore.

Custodial risk: When admitting the token to trading, the risk of losing clients assets due

to hacks or other malicious acts is given. This is due to the fact the token is hold in

custodial wallets for the customers.

3. Market and Liquidity

Volatility: The token will most likely be subject to high volatility and market speculation.

Price fluctuations could be significant, posing a risk of substantial losses to holders.

Liquidity Risk: Liquidity is contingent upon trading activity levels on decentralized

exchanges (DEXs) and potentially on centralized exchanges (CEXs), should they be

involved. Low trading volumes may restrict the buying and selling capabilities of the

tokens.

4. Counterparty

As the admission to trading involves the connection to other trading venues,

counterparty risks arise. These include, but are not limited to, the following risks:

General Trading Platform Risk: The risk of trading platforms not operating to the highest

standards is given. Examples like FTX show that especially in nascent industries,

compliance and oversight-frameworks might not be fully established and/or enforced.

Listing or Delisting Risks: The listing or delisting of the token is subject to the trading

partners internal processes. Delisting of the token at the connected trading partners

could harm or completely halt the ability to trade the token.

5. Liquidity

Liquidity of the token can vary, especially when trading activity is limited. This could

result in high slippage when trading a token.

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

47



# 6. Failure of one or more Counterparties

Another risk stems from the internal operational processes of the counterparties used. As there is no specific oversight other than the typical due diligence check, it cannot be guaranteed that all counterparties adhere to the best market standards.

Bankruptcy Risk: Counterparties could go bankrupt, possibly resulting in a total loss for the clients assets hold at that counterparty.

#### I.2 Issuer-related risks

## 1. Insolvency

As with every other commercial endeavor, the risk of insolvency of the issuer is given. This could be caused by but is not limited to lack of interest from the public, lack of funding, incapacitation of key developers and project members, force majeure (including pandemics and wars) or lack of commercial success or prospects.

### 2. Counterparty

In order to operate, the issuer has most likely engaged in different business relationships with one or more third parties on which it strongly depends on. Loss or changes in the leadership or key partners of the issuer and/or the respective counterparties can lead to disruptions, loss of trust, or project failure. This could result in a total loss of economic value for the crypto-asset holders.

## 3. Legal and Regulatory Compliance

Cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based technologies are subject to evolving regulatory landscapes worldwide. Regulations vary across jurisdictions and may be subject to significant changes. Non-compliance can result in investigations, enforcement actions, penalties, fines, sanctions, or the prohibition of the trading of the crypto-asset impacting its viability and market acceptance. This could also result in the issuer to be subject to private litigation. The beforementioned would most likely also lead to changes with respect to trading of the crypto-asset that may negatively impact the value, legality, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

4. Operational

Failure to develop or maintain effective internal control, or any difficulties encountered

in the implementation of such controls, or their improvement could harm the issuer's

business, causing disruptions, financial losses, or reputational damage.

5. Industry

The issuer is and will be subject to all of the risks and uncertainties associated with a

crypto-project, where the token issued has zero intrinsic value. History has shown that

most of this projects resulted in financial losses for the investors and were only set-up

to enrich a few insiders with the money from retail investors.

6. Reputational

The issuer faces the risk of negative publicity, whether due to, without limitation,

operational failures, security breaches, or association with illicit activities, which can

damage the issuer reputation and, by extension, the value and acceptance of the

crypto-asset.

7. Competition

There are numerous other crypto-asset projects in the same realm, which could have an

effect on the crypto-asset in question.

8. Unanticipated Risk

In addition to the risks included in this section, there might be other risks that cannot be

foreseen. Additional risks may also materialize as unanticipated variations or

combinations of the risks discussed.

I.3 Crypto-assets-related risks

1. Valuation

As the crypto-asset does not have any intrinsic value, and grants neither rights nor

obligations, the only mechanism to determine the price is supply and demand.

Historically, most crypto-assets have dramatically lost value and were not a beneficial

investment for the investors. Therefore, investing in these crypto-assets poses a high

risk, and the loss of funds can occur.

2. Market Volatility

Crypto-asset prices are highly susceptible to dramatic fluctuations influence by various

factors, including market sentiment, regulatory changes, technological advancements,

and macroeconomic conditions. These fluctuations can result in significant financial

losses within short periods, making the market highly unpredictable and challenging for

investors. This is especially true for crypto-assets without any intrinsic value, and

investors should be prepared to lose the complete amount of money invested in the

respective crypto-assets.

3. Liquidity Challenges

Some crypto-assets suffer from limited liquidity, which can present difficulties when

executing large trades without significantly impacting market prices. This lack of liquidity

can lead to substantial financial losses, particularly during periods of rapid market

movements, when selling assets may become challenging or require accepting

unfavorable prices.

4. Asset Security

Crypto-assets face unique security threats, including the risk of theft from exchanges or

digital wallets, loss of private keys, and potential failures of custodial services. Since

crypto transactions are generally irreversible, a security breach or mismanagement can

result in the permanent loss of assets, emphasizing the importance of strong security

measures and practices.

5. Scams

The irrevocability of transactions executed using blockchain infrastructure, as well as the

pseudonymous nature of blockchain ecosystems, attracts scammers. Therefore,

investors in crypto-assets must proceed with a high degree of caution when investing in

if they invest in crypto-assets. Typical scams include - but are not limited to - the



creation of fake crypto-assets with the same name, phishing on social networks or by email, fake giveaways/airdrops, identity theft, among others.

## 6. Blockchain Dependency

Any issues with the blockchain used, such as network downtime, congestion, or security vulnerabilities, could disrupt the transfer, trading, or functionality of the crypto-asset.

#### 7. Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

The smart contract used to issue the crypto-asset could include bugs, coding errors, or vulnerabilities which could be exploited by malicious actors, potentially leading to asset loss, unauthorized data access, or unintended operational consequences.

### 8. Privacy Concerns

All transactions on the blockchain are permanently recorded and publicly accessible, which can potentially expose user activities. Although addresses are pseudonoymous, the transparent and immutable nature of blockchain allows for advanced forensic analysis and intelligence gathering. This level of transparency can make it possible to link blockchain addresses to real-world identities over time, compromising user privacy.

## 9. Regulatory Uncertainty

The regulatory environment surrounding crypto-assets is constantly evolving, which can directly impact their usage, valuation, and legal status. Changes in regulatory frameworks may introduce new requirements related to consumer protection, taxation, and anti-money laundering compliance, creating uncertainty and potential challenges for investors and businesses operating in the crypto space. Although the crypto-asset do not create or confer any contractual or other obligations on any party, certain regulators may nevertheless qualify the crypto-asset as a security or other financial instrument under their applicable law, which in turn would have drastic consequences for the crypto-asset, including the potential loss of the invested capital in the asset. Furthermore, this could lead to the sellers and its affiliates, directors, and officers being obliged to pay fines, including federal civil and criminal penalties, or make the crypto-asset illegal or impossible to use, buy, or sell in certain jurisdictions. On top of that,

regulators could take action against the issuer as well as the trading platforms if the the

regulators view the token as an unregistered offering of securities or the operations

otherwise as a violation of existing law. Any of these outcomes would negatively affect

the value and/or functionality of the crypot-asset and/or could cause a complete loss of

funds of the invested money in the crypto-asset for the investor.

10. Counterparty risk

Engaging in agreements or storing crypto-assets on exchanges introduces counterparty

risks, including the failure of the other party to fulfill their obligations. Investors may face

potential losses due to factors such as insolvency, regulatory non-compliance, or

fraudulent activities by counterparties, highlighting the need for careful due diligence

when engaging with third parties.

11. Reputational concerns

Crypto-assets are often subject to reputational risks stemming from associations with

illegal activities, high-profile security breaches, and technological failures. Such incidents

can undermine trust in the broader ecosystem, negatively affecting investor confidence

and market value, thereby hindering widespread adoption and acceptance.

12. Technological Innovation

New technologies or platforms could render Base network's design less competitive or

even break fundamental parts (i.e., quantum computing might break cryptographic

algorithms used to secure the network), impacting adoption and value. Participants

should approach the crypto-asset with a clear understanding of its speculative and

volatile nature and be prepared to accept these risks and bear potential losses, which

could include the complete loss of the asset's value.

13. Community and Narrative

As the crypto-asset has no intrinsic value, all trading activity is based on the intended

market value is heavily dependent on its community.

14. Interest Rate Change

Historically, changes in interest, foreign exchange rates, and increases in volatility have

increased credit and market risks and may also affect the value of the crypto-asset.

Although historic data does not predict the future, potential investors should be aware

that general movements in local and other factors may affect the market, and this could

also affect market sentiment and, therefore most likely also the price of the crypto-

asset.

15. Taxation

The taxation regime that applies to the trading of the crypto-asset by individual holders

or legal entities will depend on the holder's jurisdiction. It is the holder's sole

responsibility to comply with all applicable tax laws, including, but not limited to, the

reporting and payment of income tax, wealth tax, or similar taxes arising in connection

with the appreciation and depreciation of the crypto-asset.

16. Anti-Money Laundering/Counter-Terrorism Financing

It cannot be ruled out that crypto-asset wallet addresses interacting with the crypto-

asset have been, or will be used for money laundering or terrorist financing purposes,

or are identified with a person known to have committed such offenses.

17. Market Abuse

It is noteworthy that crypto-assets are potentially prone to increased market abuse

risks, as the underlying infrastructure could be used to exploit arbitrage opportunities

through schemes such as front-running, spoofing, pump-and-dump, and fraud across

different systems, platforms, or geographic locations. This is especially true for crypto-

assets with a low market capitalization and few trading venues, and potential investors

should be aware that this could lead to a total loss of the funds invested in the crypto-

asset.

18. Timeline and Milestones

Critical project milestones could be delayed by technical, operational, or market

challenges.

19. DAO Risks

The novel governance structure of a DAO, which has a significant influence on the

project, creates additional risks for investors. The DAO can make decisions that adversely

affect the investor.

I.4 Project implementation-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the

implementation risk is referring to the risks on the Crypto Asset Service Providers side.

These can be, but are not limited to, typical project management risks, such as key-

personal-risks, timeline-risks, and technical implementation-risks.

I.5 Technology-related risks

As this white paper relates to the "Admission to trading" of the crypto-asset, the

technology-related risks mainly involve the DLT networks where the crypto asset is

issued in.

1. Blockchain Dependency Risks

Network Downtime: Potential outages or congestion on the involved blockchains could

interrupt on-chain token transfers, trading, and other functions.

2. Smart Contract Risks

Vulnerabilities: The smart contract governing the token could contain bugs or

vulnerabilities that may be exploited, affecting token distribution or vesting schedules.

3. Wallet and Storage Risks

Private Key Management: Token holders must securely manage their private keys and

recovery phrases to prevent permanent loss of access to their tokens, which includes

Trading-Venues, who are a prominent target for dedicated hacks.

Compatibility Issues: The tokens require compatible wallets for storage and transfer. Any

incompatibility or technical issues with these wallets could impact token accessibility.

4. Network Security Risks

Attack Risks: The blockchains may face threats such as denial-of-service (DoS) attacks or

exploits targeting its consensus mechanism, which could compromise network integrity.

Centralization Concerns: Although claiming to be decentralized, the relatively smaller

number of validators/concentration of stakes within the networks compared to other

blockchains might pose centralization risks, potentially affecting network resilience.

5. Evolving Technology Risks: Technological Obsolescence: The fast pace of innovation in

blockchain technology may make the used token standard appear less competitive or

become outdated, potentially impacting the usability or adoption of the token.

6. Bridges: The dependency on multiple ecosystems can negatively impact investors.

This asset bridge creates corresponding risks for investors, as this lock-in mechanism

may not function properly for technical reasons or may be subject to attack. In that case,

the supply may change immediately or the ownership rights to tokens may be changed.

I.6 Mitigation measures

None

Part J - Information on the sustainability indicators in relation to

adverse impact on the climate and other environment-related

adverse impacts

J.1 Adverse impacts on climate and other environment-related adverse impacts

S.1 Name

Crypto Risk Metrics GmbH

S.2 Relevant legal entity identifier

39120077M9TG0O1FE242

S.3 Name of the cryptoasset

Home



#### **S.4 Consensus Mechanism**

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain, Solana and Base. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses a hybrid consensus mechanism called Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA), which combines elements of Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS) and Proof of Authority (PoA). This method ensures fast block times and low fees while maintaining a level of decentralization and security. Core Components 1. Validators (socalled "Cabinet Members"): Validators on BSC are responsible for producing new blocks, validating transactions, and maintaining the network's security. To become a validator, an entity must stake a significant amount of BNB (Binance Coin). Validators are selected through staking and voting by token holders. There are 21 active validators at any given time, rotating to ensure decentralization and security. 2. Delegators: Token holders who do not wish to run validator nodes can delegate their BNB tokens to validators. This delegation helps validators increase their stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Delegators earn a share of the rewards that validators receive, incentivizing broad participation in network security. 3. Candidates: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are in the pool waiting to become validators. They are essentially potential validators who are not currently active but can be elected to the validator set through community voting. Candidates play a crucial role in ensuring there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, thus maintaining network resilience and decentralization. Consensus Process 4. Validator Selection: Validators are chosen based on the amount of BNB staked and votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chance of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. The selection process involves both the current validators and the pool of candidates, ensuring a dynamic and secure rotation of nodes. 5. Block Production: The selected validators take turns producing blocks in a PoA-like manner, ensuring that



blocks are generated quickly and efficiently. Validators validate transactions, add them to new blocks, and broadcast these blocks to the network. 6. Transaction Finality: BSC achieves fast block times of around 3 seconds and quick transaction finality. This is achieved through the efficient PoSA mechanism that allows validators to rapidly reach consensus. Security and Economic Incentives 7. Staking: Validators are required to stake a substantial amount of BNB, which acts as collateral to ensure their honest behavior. This staked amount can be slashed if validators act maliciously. Staking incentivizes validators to act in the network's best interest to avoid losing their staked BNB. 8. Delegation and Rewards: Delegators earn rewards proportional to their stake in validators. This incentivizes them to choose reliable validators and participate in the network's security. Validators and delegators share transaction fees as rewards, which provides continuous economic incentives to maintain network security and performance. 9. Transaction Fees: BSC employs low transaction fees, paid in BNB, making it cost-effective for users. These fees are collected by validators as part of their rewards, further incentivizing them to validate transactions accurately and efficiently.

The following applies to Solana:

Solana uses a combination of Proof of History (PoH) and Proof of Stake (PoS). The core concepts of the mechanism are intended to work as follows:

Core Concepts

1. Proof of History (PoH):

Time-Stamped Transactions: PoH is a cryptographic technique that timestamps transactions, intended to creating a historical record that proves that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time.

Verifiable Delay Function: PoH uses a Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) to generate a unique hash that includes the transaction and the time it was processed. This sequence of hashes provides a verifiable order of events, intended to enabling the network to efficiently agree on the sequence of transactions.

2. Proof of Stake (PoS):

Validator Selection: Validators are chosen to produce new blocks based on the number

of SOL tokens they have staked. The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being

selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks.

Delegation: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, earning rewards

proportional to their stake while intended to enhancing the network's security.

Consensus Process

1. Transaction Validation:

Transactions are broadcasted to the network and collected by validators. Each

transaction is validated to ensure it meets the network's criteria, such as having correct

signatures and sufficient funds.

2. PoH Sequence Generation:

A validator generates a sequence of hashes using PoH, each containing a timestamp

and the previous hash. This process creates a historical record of transactions,

establishing a

cryptographic clock for the network.

3. Block Production:

The network uses PoS to select a leader validator based on their stake. The leader is

responsible for bundling the validated transactions into a block. The leader validator

uses the PoH sequence to order transactions within the block, ensuring that all

transactions are processed in the correct order.

4. Consensus and Finalization:

Other validators verify the block produced by the leader validator. They check the

correctness of the PoH sequence and validate the transactions within the block. Once

the block is verified, it is added to the blockchain. Validators sign off on the block, and it

is considered finalized.

Security and Economic Incentives

1. Incentives for Validators:

Block Rewards: Validators earn rewards for producing and validating blocks. These

rewards are distributed in SOL tokens and are proportional to the validator's stake and

performance.

Transaction Fees: Validators also earn transaction fees from the transactions included in

the blocks they produce. These fees provide an additional incentive for validators to

process transactions efficiently.

2. Security:

Staking: Validators must stake SOL tokens to participate in the consensus process. This

staking acts as collateral, incentivizing validators to act honestly. If a validator behaves

maliciously or fails to perform, they risk losing their staked tokens.

Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their SOL tokens to validators, intended

to enhance network security and decentralization. Delegators share in the rewards and

are incentivized to choose reliable validators.

3. Economic Penalties:

Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as double-signing or

producing invalid blocks. This penalty, known as slashing, results in the loss of a portion

of the staked tokens, discouraging dishonest actions.

The following applies to the Base network:

Base is a Layer-2 (L2) solution on Ethereum that was introduced by Coinbase and

developed using Optimism's OP Stack. L2 transactions do not have their own consensus

mechanism and are only validated by the execution clients. The so-called sequencer

regularly bundles stacks of L2 transactions and publishes them on the L1 network, i.e.

Ethereum. Ethereum's consensus mechanism (Proof-of-stake) thus indirectly secures all

59

L2 transactions as soon as they are written to L1.



## **S.5 Incentive Mechanisms and Applicable Fees**

The crypto asset that is the subject of this white paper is available on multiple DLT networks. These include: BNB Smart Chain, Solana and Base. In general, when evaluating crypto assets, the total number of tokens issued across different networks must always be taken into account, as spillover effects can be adverse for investors.

The following applies to BNB Smart Chain:

Binance Smart Chain (BSC) uses the Proof of Staked Authority (PoSA) consensus mechanism to ensure network security and incentivize participation from validators and delegators. Incentive Mechanisms 1. Validators: Staking Rewards: Validators must stake a significant amount of BNB to participate in the consensus process. They earn rewards in the form of transaction fees and block rewards. Selection Process: Validators are selected based on the amount of BNB staked and the votes received from delegators. The more BNB staked and votes received, the higher the chances of being selected to validate transactions and produce new blocks. 2. Delegators: Delegated Staking: Token holders can delegate their BNB to validators. This delegation increases the validator's total stake and improves their chances of being selected to produce blocks. Shared Rewards: Delegators earn a portion of the rewards that validators receive. This incentivizes token holders to participate in the network's security and decentralization by choosing reliable validators. 3. Candidates: Pool of Potential Validators: Candidates are nodes that have staked the required amount of BNB and are waiting to become active validators. They ensure that there is always a sufficient pool of nodes ready to take on validation tasks, maintaining network resilience. 4. Economic Security: Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior or failure to perform their duties. Penalties include slashing a portion of their staked tokens, ensuring that validators act in the best interest of the network. Opportunity Cost: Staking requires validators and delegators to lock up their BNB tokens, providing an economic incentive to act honestly to avoid losing their staked assets. Fees on the Binance Smart Chain 5. Transaction Fees: Low Fees: BSC is known for its low transaction fees compared to other blockchain networks. These fees are paid in BNB and are essential for maintaining network operations and compensating validators. Dynamic Fee Structure: Transaction fees can

vary based on network congestion and the complexity of the transactions. However,

BSC ensures that fees remain significantly lower than those on the Ethereum mainnet.

6. Block Rewards: Incentivizing Validators: Validators earn block rewards in addition to

transaction fees. These rewards are distributed to validators for their role in maintaining

the network and processing transactions. 7. Cross-Chain Fees: Interoperability Costs:

BSC supports cross-chain compatibility, allowing assets to be transferred between

Binance Chain and Binance Smart Chain. These cross-chain operations incur minimal

fees, facilitating seamless asset transfers and improving user experience. 8. Smart

Contract Fees: Deployment and Execution Costs: Deploying and interacting with smart

contracts on BSC involves paying fees based on the computational resources required.

These fees are also paid in BNB and are designed to be cost-effective, encouraging

developers to build on the BSC platform.

The following applies to Solana:

1. Validators:

Staking Rewards: Validators are chosen based on the number of SOL tokens they have

staked. They earn rewards for producing and validating blocks, which are distributed in

SOL. The more tokens staked, the higher the chances of being selected to validate

transactions and produce new blocks.

Transaction Fees: Validators earn a portion of the transaction fees paid by users for the

transactions they include in the blocks. This is intended to provide an additional financial

incentive for validators to process transactions efficiently and maintain the network's

integrity.

2. Delegators:

Delegated Staking: Token holders who do not wish to run a validator node can delegate

their SOL tokens to a validator. In return, delegators share the rewards earned by the

validators. This is intended to encourage widespread participation in securing the

network and ensures decentralization.

3. Economic Security:

Slashing: Validators can be penalized for malicious behavior, such as producing invalid

blocks or being frequently offline. This penalty, known as slashing, involves the loss of a

portion of their staked tokens. Slashing is intended to deter dishonest actions and

ensures that validators act in the best interest of the network.

Opportunity Cost: By staking SOL tokens, validators and delegators lock up their tokens,

which could otherwise be used or sold. This opportunity cost is intended to incentivize

participants to act honestly to earn rewards and avoid penalties.

Fees Applicable on the Solana Blockchain

1. Transaction Fees:

Solana is designed to handle a high throughput of transactions, which is intended to

keep the fees low and predictable.

Fee Structure: Fees are paid in SOL and are used to compensate validators for the

resources they expend to process transactions. This includes computational power and

network bandwidth.

2. Rent Fees:

State Storage: Solana charges so called ""rent fees"" for storing data on the blockchain.

These fees are designed to discourage inefficient use of state storage and encourage

developers to clean up unused state. Rent fees are intended to help maintain the

efficiency and performance of the network.

3. Smart Contract Fees:

Execution Costs: Similar to transaction fees, fees for deploying and interacting with

smart contracts on Solana are based on the computational resources required. This is

intended to ensure that users are charged proportionally for the resources they

consume.

The following applies to the Base network:

FFG: 9R78FGDX0 - 2025-08-11

62



Base is a Layer-2 (L2) solution on Ethereum that uses optimistic rollups provided by the OP Stack on which it was developed. Transaction on base are bundled by a, so called, sequencer and the result is regularly submitted as an Layer-1 (L1) transactions. This way many L2 transactions get combined into a single L1 transaction. This lowers the average transaction cost per transaction, because many L2 transactions together fund the transaction cost for the single L1 transaction. This creates incentives to use base rather than the L1, i.e. Ethereum, itself. To get crypto-assets in and out of base, a special smart contract on Ethereum is used. Since there is no consensus mechanism on L2 an additional mechanism ensures that only existing funds can be withdrawn from L2. When a user wants to withdraw funds, that user needs to submit a withdrawal request on L1. If this request remains unchallenged for a period of time the funds can be withdrawn. During this time period any other user can submit a fault proof, which will start a dispute resolution process. This process is designed with economic incentives for correct behaviour.

#### S.6 Beginning of the period to which the disclosure relates

2024-08-10

# S.7 End of the period to which the disclosure relates

2025-08-10

#### **S.8 Energy consumption**

19.68751 kWh/a

### S.9 Energy consumption sources and methodologies

The energy consumption of this asset is aggregated across multiple components: To determine the energy consumption of a token, the energy consumption of the networks Base, BNB Smart Chain and Solana is calculated first. For the energy consumption of the token, a fraction of the energy consumption of the network is attributed to the token, which is determined based on the activity of the crypto-asset within the network. When calculating the energy consumption, the Functionally Fungible Group Digital Token Identifier (FFG DTI) is used - if available - to determine all implementations of the asset in



scope. The mappings are updated regularly, based on data of the Digital Token Identifier Foundation. The information regarding the hardware used and the number of participants in the network is based on assumptions that are verified with best effort using empirical data. In general, participants are assumed to be largely economically rational. As a precautionary principle, we make assumptions on the conservative side when in doubt, i.e. making higher estimates for the adverse impacts.

## S.10 Renewable energy consumption

22.3986506233 %

### S.11 Energy intensity

0.00000 kWh

# S.12 Scope 1 DLT GHG emissions - Controlled

0.00000 tCO2e/a

#### S.13 Scope 2 DLT GHG emissions – Purchased

0.00600 tCO2e/a

#### S.14 GHG intensity

0.00000 kgCO2e

## S.15 Key energy sources and methodologies

To determine the proportion of renewable energy usage, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal energy cost wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Share of electricity generated by renewables - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute,



"Statistical Review of World Energy" [original data]. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/share-electricity-renewables.

## S.16 Key GHG sources and methodologies

To determine the GHG Emissions, the locations of the nodes are to be determined using public information sites, open-source crawlers and crawlers developed in-house. If no information is available on the geographic distribution of the nodes, reference networks are used which are comparable in terms of their incentivization structure and consensus mechanism. This geo-information is merged with public information from Our World in Data, see citation. The intensity is calculated as the marginal emission wrt. one more transaction. Ember (2025); Energy Institute - Statistical Review of World Energy (2024) - with major processing by Our World in Data. "Carbon intensity of electricity generation - Ember and Energy Institute" [dataset]. Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data Europe"; Ember, "Yearly Electricity Data"; Energy Institute, "Statistical Review of World Energy" [original datal. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/carbon-intensity-electricity Licenced under CC BY 4.0.

